

## FISCAL NOTE LOG--HOUSE BILLS 2009

Thursday, March 26, 2009

[Link to 2009 Legislature General Session Numbered Bills and Resolutions](#)  
[Link to Bills and Bill Requests by Sponsor, Subject or Committee](#)  
[Link to Recorded House Floor Debates](#)  
[USOE Fiscal Note Input Web Site](#)

[Link to 2009 Legislation Governor Actions](#)  
[Link to Weekly Schedules of House and Senate](#)  
[Link to Legislative Calendars](#)  
[House and Senate Calendar Displays](#)

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	STATUS	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 2</a>		Minimum School Program Budget Amendments--Merlynn T. Newbold		Yes	Yes	9-Mar-09		Cathy	10-Mar-09	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$2,054,384,486 in ongoing Uniform School Funds, \$86,347,800 in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$20,000,000 in ongoing Uniform School Fund Restricted - Interest and Dividends, and \$207,176,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program for FY 2010. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates (\$84,167,200) in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$91,200,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates \$3,171,700 in one-time Uniform School Funds to the Capital Outlay Foundation and Capital Outlay Enrollment Growth Programs. Enactment of this bill provides for a total Minimum School Budget of approximately \$2.9 billion in FY 2010 and the Weighted Pupil Unit value remains at \$2,577 per WPU. Enactment of this bill increases or decreases funding for programs within the Minimum School Program and School Building Programs that is distributed to support the operation of public schools. School districts and charter schools may see a change in their state fund allocations based on their individual level of participation in programs being increased or decreased. Individuals and businesses may also be impacted through their associated dealings with school districts and charter schools, the extent of which is dependent on the overall impact to each school district or charter school.	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$2,054,384,486 in ongoing Uniform School Funds, \$86,347,800 in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$20,000,000 in ongoing Uniform School Fund Restricted - Interest and Dividends, and \$207,176,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program for FY 2010. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates (\$84,167,200) in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$91,200,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates \$3,171,700 in one-time Uniform School Funds to the Capital Outlay Foundation and Capital Outlay Enrollment Growth Programs. Enactment of this bill provides for a total Minimum School Budget of approximately \$2.9 billion in FY 2010 and the Weighted Pupil Unit value remains at \$2,577 per WPU. Enactment of this bill increases or decreases funding for programs within the Minimum School Program and School Building Programs that is distributed to support the operation of public schools. School districts and charter schools may see a change in their state fund allocations based on their individual level of participation in programs being increased or decreased. Individuals and businesses may also be impacted through their associated dealings with school districts and charter schools, the extent of which is dependent on the overall impact to each school district or charter school.
<a href="#">H.B. 2 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Minimum School Program Budget Amendments--Senator Howard A. Stephenson	Amended by Sen Stephenson with Amendments 3 and 4.	Yes		10-Mar-09		Cathy	10-Mar-09	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$2,054,384,486 in ongoing Uniform School Funds, \$86,347,800 in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$20,000,000 in ongoing Uniform School Fund Restricted - Interest and Dividends, and \$207,176,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program for FY 2010. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates (\$84,167,200) in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$91,200,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates \$3,171,700 in one-time Uniform School Funds to the Capital Outlay Foundation and Capital Outlay Enrollment Growth Programs. Enactment of this bill provides for a total Minimum School Budget of approximately \$2.9 billion in FY 2010 and the Weighted Pupil Unit value remains at \$2,577 per WPU. Enactment of this bill increases or decreases funding for programs within the Minimum School Program and School Building Programs that is distributed to support the operation of public schools. School districts and charter schools may see a change in their state fund allocations based on their individual level of participation in programs being increased or decreased. Individuals and businesses may also be impacted through their associated dealings with school districts and charter schools, the extent of which is dependent on the overall impact to each school district or charter school. In addition, Charter schools will need tentative prior approval for an increase in their enrollment in the FY2011-12 school year or thereafter. This first substitute also increases a school district's portion of their property tax revenue to each resident student who is enrolled in a charter school from 25% in FY2009-10, 50% in FY 2010-11, 75% in FY2011-12, and 100% in FY 2012-13.	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$2,054,384,486 in ongoing Uniform School Funds, \$86,347,800 in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$20,000,000 in ongoing Uniform School Fund Restricted - Interest and Dividends, and \$207,176,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program for FY 2010. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates (\$84,167,200) in one-time Uniform School Funds, \$91,200,000 in one-time American Recovery and Reinvestment Act monies to the Minimum School Program. As a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009, enactment of this bill appropriates \$3,171,700 in one-time Uniform School Funds to the Capital Outlay Foundation and Capital Outlay Enrollment Growth Programs. Enactment of this bill provides for a total Minimum School Budget of approximately \$2.9 billion in FY 2010 and the Weighted Pupil Unit value remains at \$2,577 per WPU. In FY 2011, the estimated increase of approximately 6,276 students in charter schools may increase the state cost towards the Charter School Local Replacement Program and the Charter School Administrative Cost program. This increased cost is estimated at \$7,344,500, with \$6,716,900 for the Local Replacement Program and \$627,600 for the Enrollment Growth Program. Enactment of this bill increases or decreases funding for programs within the Minimum School Program and School Building Programs that is distributed to support the operation of public schools. School districts and charter schools may see a change in their state fund allocations based on their individual level of participation in programs being increased or decreased. Individuals and businesses may also be impacted through their associated dealings with school districts and charter schools, the extent of which is dependent on the overall impact to each school district or charter school. Enactment of this bill will increase a school district's contribution to the cost of the Charter School Local Replacement beginning in FY 2011, FY 2012, and FY 2013. School districts and charter schools may see a change in their state fund allocation based on their level of participation in the program.
<a href="#">H.B. 3--Passed 2 Feb 2009; Enrolled; Signed by Governor 9 Feb 09</a>	2	Current Year Supplemental Appropriations--Ron Bigelow		Yes	Yes						This bill appropriates \$137,034,800, including (\$76,515,100) from general and education funds, for the use and support of state agencies and higher education institutions for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008 and ending June 30, 2009. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 14-- Passed 11 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Material Harmful to Minors Amendments -- Sheryl L. Allen		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 15-- Passed 20 Feb 2009. Enrolled</a>	1	Career and Technical Education Amendments--Ron Bigelow		Yes	Yes						The restructuring of the Salt Lake/Tooele campus of the Utah College of Applied Technology will result in a stand-alone UCAT campus in Tooele to serve students there and the School of Applied Technology at Salt Lake Community College to serve the students in Salt Lake County. The current tax fund appropriation to SLTATC is \$3,297,200. With an anticipated 15% base reduction in FY 2010, the balance to be divided between SLCC and the Tooele ATC is \$2,802,600. Based on the current distribution of students and the anticipated fixed, start-up costs associated with establishing the new TATC, a split in the funding of 60%/40% is assumed with SLCC's portion being \$1,682,900 and TATC's being \$1,119,700.
<a href="#">H.B. 23</a>		Certified Tax Rate Amendments--Fred Hunsaker		Yes	Yes						None published
<a href="#">H.B. 23 S1</a>		Certified Tax Rate Amendments--Fred Hunsaker-- substituted in House Committee		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could result in a loss of local revenue of \$58 million in FY 2010. The loss is expected to decrease in FY 2011 and for the following five years. Local governments could choose to go through truth in taxation to minimize losses. As the certified rate decreases individuals and businesses could see reductions in property taxes owed.
<a href="#">H.B. 23 S2-- Passed 20 Feb 2009. Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 Mar 2009</a>	2	Certified Tax Rate Amendments--Fred Hunsaker-- substituted in House Committee		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could result in a shift in property taxes between taxpayers of approximately \$58 million in FY 2010. Local governments could choose to go through truth in taxation after the first year to minimize losses. As the certified rate adjusts individuals and businesses could see either increases or reductions in property taxes owed.
<a href="#">H.B. 28--Passed 19 Feb 2009. Gov Signed 24 Mar 2009</a>	2	Personal Property Tax Amendments--Craig Frank		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 29--Passed 10 Mar 2009. Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Sex Offenders' Contact with Children--Richard Greenwood		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will create a net ongoing General Fund impact of \$7,500 in workload costs to the Courts - \$25,000 of ongoing General Fund appropriations and General Fund Revenues of approximately \$17,500. Enactment of this bill will increase Dedicated Credits revenue and expenditures at the Department of Corrections by \$10,000 each year beginning in FY 2010 until FY 2014 when they will remain constant at \$50,000 per year. Enactment may impact local law enforcement agencies however the impact is unquantifiable at this time.
<a href="#">H.B. 35</a>		Nonrefundable Higher Education Tuition Credit--John Dougall		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill may reduce revenue to the Education Fund by \$2,200,000 in FY 2010 and by \$3,900,000 in FY 2011. The carry forward provisions of this bill will increase the total revenue loss annually. By FY 2035 the estimated revenue loss is \$461,000,000 per year. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses, or local governments. Individuals who have paid college tuition could receive a credit over time equal to the full cost of tuition.
<a href="#">H.B. 35 S1</a>		Higher Education Tax Credit and Contribution--John Dougall		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill may reduce revenue to the Education Fund by \$2,200,000 in FY 2010 and by \$3,900,000 in FY 2011. The carry forward provisions of this bill will increase the total revenue loss annually. By FY 2035 the estimated revenue loss is \$461,000,000 per year. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses, or local governments. Individuals who have paid college tuition could receive a credit over time equal to the full cost of tuition.
<a href="#">H.B. 35 S3-- Passed 12 Mar 2009. Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Higher Education Tax Credit and Contribution--Senator Wayne L. Niederhauser		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments. This bill could increase the contributions made to Utah Educational Savings Accounts.
<a href="#">H.B. 39--Passed 5 Feb 2009. Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Utah Injured Worker Reemployment Act--Michael T. Morley		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses and local governments. Individuals may benefit from the ability to receive addition

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 45--Passed, 12 Feb 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 Mar 2009</a>	2	Per Diem and Travel Expenses for State Boards and Commissions--Douglas Aagard		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 61</a>		Local Government Entity Changes--Kory Holdaway		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 61 S1</a>		Local Government Entity Changes--Kory Holdaway		Yes	Yes						None published
<a href="#">H.B. 61 S2-- Passed 26 Feb 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Local Government Entity Changes--Kory Holdaway		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 63--Passed 27 Feb 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Amendments to Child Welfare-- Wayne Harper		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 66</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Merlynn Newbold	<a href="#">Link to spreadsheets for fiscal note</a>	Yes	Yes	13-Jan-09		Cathy	20-Jan-09	<a href="#">No new state costs; see spreadsheets linked to bill title.</a>	Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$155,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$155,000,000. The property tax portion of school funding that is provided by the locals to the state may increase from about 20% to 35% in FY 2020. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$9,800,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$32,000,000 between school districts. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. On the provision related to the capital outlay foundation program, there will be a shift of \$14,000,000 to high growth districts from non-high growth districts.
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S1</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Merlynn Newbold		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$155,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$155,000,000. The property tax portion of school funding that is provided by the locals to the state may increase from about 20% to 35% in FY 2020. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$9,800,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$32,000,000 between school districts. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S2</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Merlynn Newbold		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$155,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$155,000,000. The property tax portion of school funding that is provided by the locals to the state may increase from about 20% to 35% in FY 2020. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$9,800,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$32,000,000 between school districts. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	S t a t u s	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S3</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Gregory H. Hughes	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$155,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$155,000,000. The property tax portion of school funding that is provided by the locals to the state may increase from about 20% to 35% in FY 2020. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$9,800,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$32,000,000 between school districts. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. On the provision relating to the elimination of the capital outlay in counties of the first class, this bill would eliminate \$14,000,000 in shifting that would take place.
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S4 as Amended by Rep Brad Last</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Merlynn Newbold		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate and guarantees a minimum amount of property tax revenue from the basic rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$9,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$9,000,000. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$2,700,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$1,900,000 between school districts in FY 2011. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. There will likely be recapture of \$2,700,000 from school districts to the Uniform School Fund.
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S5</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Greg Hughes	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate and guarantees a minimum amount of property tax revenue from the basic rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$155,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$155,000,000. The property tax portion of school funding that is provided by the locals to the state may increase from about 20% to 35% in FY 2020. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$9,800,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$32,000,000 between school districts. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. There will likely be recapture of \$9,800,000 from school districts to the Uniform School Fund. On the provision relating to the elimination of the capital outlay in counties of the first class and divided school districts, this would eliminate \$14,000,000 in shifting that would have taken place.
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S6</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Jim Bird	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate and guarantees a minimum amount of property tax revenue from the basic rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$9,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$9,000,000. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$2,700,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$1,900,000 between school districts in FY 2011. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. There will likely be recapture of \$2,700,000 from school districts to the Uniform School Fund.
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S7</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Jim Bird	Temporary URL	Yes							None Published

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	S t a t u s	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S8</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Greg Hughes	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill raises the minimum basic state rate and guarantees a minimum amount of property tax revenue from the basic rate. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$9,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate increase, other local property tax will decrease by \$9,000,000. Due to recapture, revenue to the Uniform School Fund could increase by \$2,700,000. Enactment of this bill will shift \$1,900,000 between school districts in FY 2011. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. There will likely be recapture of \$2,700,000 from school districts to the Uniform School Fund. On the provision relating to the elimination of the capital outlay in counties of the first class, this would eliminate \$14,000,000 in shifting that would have taken place.
<a href="#">H.B. 66 S9</a>		Property Tax Amendments-- Sheryl L. Allen		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill freezes the minimum basic state rate and guarantees a minimum amount of property tax revenue from the basic rate. The freezing of the basic rate will reduce the state's Uniform School Fund obligation to the basic school program. This increases the local portion of the minimum school program by \$9,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate freeze, other local property tax school program by \$9,000,000 in FY 2011. Because of the basic rate freeze, other local property tax by \$2,700,000. Enactment of this bill could increase revenue to school districts to offset the costs of charter school replacement funding by \$3.5 million in FY 2011. If a school district that experiences a decrease in revenue decides to go through truth in taxation to make up the lost revenue, there will be an increase in property tax on individuals and businesses. Some school districts will likely experience an increase in revenue. If a school district that receives an increase in revenue decides to decrease other property taxes, there will be a decrease in local property tax on certain individuals and businesses. There will likely be recapture of \$2,700,000 from school districts to the Uniform School Fund.
<a href="#">H.B. 67--Passed 20 Feb 2009; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Public Hearings on Property Tax Increases--Gage Frorer		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Locals could experience some administrative savings as a result of the changes to the publication requirements.
<a href="#">H.B. 73</a>		Vehicle Operator Turn off Requirements--Fred Hunsaker		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 73 S1</a>		Vehicle Operator Turn off Requirements--Fred Hunsaker		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses and local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 79</a>		Income Tax Credit for At-Home Parent--Jack Draxler		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill could reduce the Education fund by \$400,000 annually. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses, or local governments. Eligible individuals would receive an additional \$100 income tax credit.
<a href="#">H.B. 82</a>		Teacher Mortgage Loan Program--Lynn Hemingway		Yes	Yes	19-Jan-09		Von	20-Jan-09	<a href="#">Cost of loan funds: \$1.5 million; additional cost to administer the loan program: \$115,000</a>	Enactment of this bill will appropriate \$1,500,000 from the General Fund to the State Board of Education to implement and administer the Teacher Mortgage Loan Program. It is assumed that any administrative costs are included in the appropriation. This bill would help individual teachers who participate in the Teacher Mortgage Loan Program to purchase a home
<a href="#">H.B. 83</a>		Property Tax Relief Programs-- Gage Frorer		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill may reduce General Fund revenue by an estimated \$122,000 in FY 2010 and by \$125,000 in FY 2011. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses. Local governments could see a reduction in revenues of \$650,000 annually. Eligible individuals who rent or own residences could benefit from enhanced circuit breaker credits on income tax returns.
<a href="#">H.B. 91--Passed 23 Feb 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Individual Development Account Amendments-- F. Jay Seegmiller		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses and local governments. Individuals may benefit from the ability to receive additional services with the enactment of this bill.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statute	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 95</a>		Restrictions on Use of Wireless Communication Devices in Vehicles--Phil Riesen		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will require \$20,000 per year from the General Fund appropriated to the Courts beginning in FY 2010. The bill will also generate \$27,600 per year in new General Fund revenue beginning in FY 2010, for a net General Fund increase of \$7,600 per year. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments through Justice Courts adjudications will benefit.
<a href="#">H.B. 95 S1</a>		Restrictions on Use of Wireless Communication Devices in Vehicles--Phil Riesen	Temporary URL	Yes							None published
<a href="#">H.B. 95 S2</a>		Restrictions on Use of Wireless Communication Devices in Vehicles--Phil Riesen		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will require \$4,000 per year from the General Fund appropriated to the Courts beginning in FY 2010. The bill will also generate \$5,200 per year in new General Fund revenue beginning in FY 2010, for a net General Fund increase of \$1,200 per year. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments through Justice Courts adjudications will benefit.
<a href="#">H.B. 96</a>		State Retirement System Participation for Charter Schools--Christine F. Watkins		Yes	Yes	16-Jan-09		Von	20-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Individuals may benefit in this change in statute.
<a href="#">H.B. 96 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	State Retirement System Participation for Charter Schools--Christine F. Watkins		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Individuals may benefit in this change in statute.
<a href="#">H.B. 99</a>		Professional Licensure Exemptions--Larry Wiley		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations nor is it expected to generate additional revenue. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or local governments. Businesses may incur additional costs for licensure.
<a href="#">H.B. 118-- Passed 5 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Archives and GRAMA Revisions--Douglas C. Aagard		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments
<a href="#">H.B. 122</a>		Government Records Access And Management Act Amendments--Douglas A. Aagard		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments
<a href="#">H.B. 131</a>		School Fee Amendments-- Craig Frank		Yes	Yes	28-Jan-09		Von	30-Jan-09	<a href="#">Ongoing appropriation from USF of \$13.1 million beginning in FY 2009</a>	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$13,100,000 from the Uniform School Fund to the Minimum School Program to offset estimated reductions in local fee revenue collected by school districts and charter schools. Bill provisions establish the School Fee Replacement Program within the Minimum School Program as a Weighted Pupil Unit driven program and assigns it 5,083 WPU's. Increasing the number of WPU's in the Minimum School Program also increases the cost of the state guarantee for the Voted and Board Leeway programs. This increase is estimated to cost an additional \$203,100 from the Uniform School Fund and would be funded through the fiscal note process. Enactment of this bill may reduce school fees paid by students. School districts and charter schools may experience an increase or decrease in funding depending on their current fee collections and the amount of School Fee Replacement Program funding they receive.
<a href="#">H.B. 146</a>		Expenditures of School and Institutional Trust Land Money by School Districts-- Representative Tim Cosgrove		Yes	Yes	30-Jan-09		Von	2-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill will not create additional costs for local districts, but will allow them to use School LAND Trust Program monies for purchase of solar or geothermal systems which may, in turn, impact revenue available for education.



New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 149-- Passed 13 Feb. Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 Feb. 2009</a>	2	Forest Reserve Fund Revisions--Michael E. Noel		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local revenues could increase by approximately \$7,000,000.
<a href="#">H.B. 150</a>		State Board of Education Member Election Process Amendments--Carol Spackman Moss		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Von	3-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 150 S1</a>		State Board of Education Member Election Process Amendments--Carol Spackman Moss		Yes	Yes	12-Feb-09		Von	17-Feb-09	<a href="#">No Fiscal Impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 150 S2</a>		State Board of Education Member Election Process Amendments--Kenneth W.	Temporary URL	Yes		20-Feb-09		Von	23-Feb-09	<a href="#">There is no fiscal impact to school districts or the state. This bill would impact calendar entities (NOT school districts) by giving them options if they desire to levy a tax rate that exceeds the calendar year taxing entity's certified tax rate.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 154-- Passed 2 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	State Construction Registry Amendments--Michael T. Morley		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 155</a>		Equalization of Funding for Divided School Districts--Jim Bird.		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Cathy	6-Feb-09	<a href="#">The objective of this bill is to try and avoid a property tax increase in the remaining Jordan School District property boundaries after the school district split has occurred. A net property tax increase is possible for the remaining Jordan School District. It is important to point out that this initially may not be a property tax rate increase, but we do not know what the Boards of Education of the divided school districts will do in the future.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could result in a property tax shift of \$16,400,000 in FY 2010 and \$17,300,000 in FY 2011 between individuals and businesses depending upon geographic location. Districts experiencing decreased revenue due to the shift will either reduce budgets or increase property tax assessments through truth in taxation.
<a href="#">H.B. 156 S1 Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Vetoed</a>	3	Subdivision Approval Amendments--R. Curt Webb		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 174-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Gov Signed 23 March 2009</a>	2	Licensing of Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors-- Ronda Rudd Menlove		Yes							Enacting this bill will require an appropriation from the Commerce Service Fund of \$21,500 in FY 2010 and \$35,400 ongoing in FY 2011. The bill will generate additional revenue of \$20,500 in FY 2010. Licenses are renewed every other year resulting in up and down revenue cycles. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments. Businesses and individuals may be impacted by this change in statute.
<a href="#">H.B. 186</a>		School District Division Amendment--Laura Black	Sent to Interim Committee for Study	Yes	Yes	13-Feb-09		Cathy	18-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 189</a>		Instruction in Health Amendments--Lynn N. Hemingway		Yes	Yes	6-Feb-09		Randy	10-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 193</a>		Property Tax--Greenbelt Rollback--Ronda Rudd Menlove		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could result in a shift in property taxes between tax types.
<a href="#">H.B. 194-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Education Policies for Military Children--Ronda Rudd Menlove		Yes	Yes	6-Feb-09		Randy	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 197-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Reauthorization of Administrative Rules--Ben C. Ferry		Yes	Yes	6-Feb-09		Randy	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 199</a>		Statewide Equalization of School Funding--Wayne A. Harper		Yes	Yes	5-Feb-09		Cathy	10-Feb-09	<a href="#">This bill is creating a Capital Outlay Equalization Fund which is funded by revenues from the new Basic Capital Outlay Levy, revenues from the rate reduction limitation increment, and legislative appropriations. Also, any interest earned on the fund shall be deposited into the fund. The rate reduction limitation increment means that for a receiving district whose combined capital levy certified tax rate would be less than 0.002400 the amount of revenue equal to the difference between (1) the amount of revenue that would have been generated by the combined capital levy certified tax rate and (2) the amount of revenue generated by a property tax rate of 0.002400 per dollar of taxable value.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could result in a property tax shift of \$55,000,000 in FY 2011 between individuals and businesses depending upon geographic location. School districts experiencing decreased revenue due to the shift will either reduce budgets or increase property tax assessments.
<a href="#">H.B. 202-- Passed 6 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 23 March 2009</a>	2	School District Traffic Violation Complaint Procedures--Wayne A. Harper		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 207</a>		Concurrent Enrollment Amendments--Kory M. Holdaway		Yes	Yes	4-Feb-09		Randy	6-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 207 S1</a>		Concurrent Enrollment Amendments--Kory M. Holdaway		Yes	Yes	10-Feb-09		Randy	12-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 207 S2</a>		Concurrent Enrollment Amendments--Kory M. Holdaway	Temporary URL	Yes		27-Feb-09		Randy	27-Feb-09	<a href="#">The bill is a subset of the first substitute of SB 81, modified only to mimic the language of the latter bill on allocation. Like the original and the first substitute, this version changes how CE money is allocated between higher and public education, but not how much money is appropriated for CE. Unlike either version of SB 81, this bill does not otherwise affect public education.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 208</a>		Modification of Exemption from Nonresident Tuition--Richard A. Greenwood		Yes	Yes						Implementation of this bill would likely curtail the growth in the number of certain nonimmigrant alien students enrolling in institutions of higher education in the state. This would result in an estimated loss of future tuition revenue of approximately \$150,000 annually. Nonimmigrant aliens who benefit from paying resident tuition rates would lose this benefit if they were employed or earned income during the year they claim the exemption from paying nonresident tuition.
<a href="#">H.B. 210</a>		Posting of Collective Bargaining Agreements by School Districts--Kenneth W. Sumsion		Yes	Yes	3-Feb-09		Von	4-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact; smaller school districts may have difficulty posting agreements to the web due to limited resources.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 210 S1-- Passed 3 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Posting of Collective Bargaining Agreements by School Districts and Charter Schools--Kenneth W. Sumsion		Yes	Yes	17-Feb-09		Von	18-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact; smaller school districts may have difficulty posting agreements to the web due to limited resources. The 1st substitute only adds charter schools with the same requirements as school districts.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 215</a>		Public Service Commission Powers - Area Code Assignment -- James A. Dunnigan		Yes							None Published
<a href="#">H.B. 219</a>		Tobacco Tax Increase--Paul Ray		Yes							Enactment of this bill will increase revenues to the General Fund by \$40,100,000 in FY 2010 and \$41,700,000 in FY 2011. Local government sales tax revenue will increase by a total of \$40,000. Businesses dealing in tobacco products will experience a decrease in revenue of \$9,000,000. Individuals will see the average price per pack increase by \$1.00.



## New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

## New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

## Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

## Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

## Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 219 S1</a>		Tobacco Tax Increase--Paul Ray		Yes							Enactment of this bill increases the tax on cigarettes, moist snuff, and other tobacco products. This increases revenue to the General Fund by \$25,900,000 in FY 2010. Each year the Economic and Statistical Unit of the Utah State Tax Commission will calculate the new tax rate based upon the average tax rate in 44 states and multiplied by a constant. Absent tax changes in other states, this bill increases revenue in FY 2011 by \$30,600,000 in that the tax changes in FY 2010 increase the national average. Local government sales tax revenue will increase by a total of \$26,000 in FY 2010 and \$31,000 in FY 2011. Businesses dealing in tobacco products will experience a decrease in revenue. Individuals will see the average price per pack increase to the national average in FY 2010. In FY 2011, individuals will see the price per pack increase or decrease depending upon the cigarette tax policy in other states.
<a href="#">H.B. 226-- Passed 11 Feb. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Disaster Recovery and Emergency Management Amendments--Curtis Oda		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 229</a>		Public School Funding--Wayne Harper	<a href="#">Link to spreadsheets for fiscal note</a>	Yes	Yes	22-Jan-09		Cathy	2-Feb-09	<a href="#">Local taxing entities will be unable to raise property taxes above the certified rate. Because of this, and based upon historical data from the legislative Fiscal Analyst's office, local taxing entities will be unable to raise property taxes by \$71,000 in FY2011. Regarding the uniform fees, school districts could experience a loss of \$3,000,000 in FY2011 whereas other local taxing entities will experience an increase of \$3,000,000. On the provision related to the Capital Outlay Foundation Program, there will be a shift of approximately \$14 million to high growth districts from non-high growth districts.</a>	Enactment of this bill raises the sales tax which increases revenue to the Uniform School Fund by \$617,000,000 in FY 2011. Revenue to the Education Fund will increase by \$7,700,000 because the decreased property tax will not be claimed on income tax returns. Due to the provision related to local replacement for charter schools, enactment of this bill would free up Uniform School Fund appropriations of \$35,000,000. Property tax for individuals and businesses will decrease by \$652,000,000 in FY 2011. Local taxing entities will be unable to raise property tax above the certified rate. Because of this, and based upon historical information, local taxing entities will be unable to raise property taxes by \$71,000,000 in FY 2011; businesses and individuals will not experience an increase in property tax of \$71,000,000 in FY 2011 that historically would have been paid. On the provision related to the uniform fee, school districts will experience a loss of \$3,000,000 in FY 2011 whereas other local taxing entities will experience an increase of \$3,000,000. On the provision related to the capital outlay foundation program, there will be a shift of \$14,000,000 to high growth
<a href="#">H.B. 230</a>		Credit Required in Teacher Transfers--Lorie D. Fowlke		Yes	Yes	15-Jan-09		Emily	20-Jan-09	<a href="#">There is an unknown potential impact on LEAs and potentially on individual teachers; a specific impact cannot be derived because each LEA has their own varying hiring policies and procedures.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Implementation of this bill may result in additional hiring costs to local school districts and charter schools. Individual impacts will depend on teacher qualifications and district policies.
<a href="#">H.B. 242</a>		Kindergarten Amendments-- Laura Black		Yes	Yes	16-Jan-09		Randy	21-Jan-09	<a href="#">SECOND REVISION: Savings of \$28.7 million in FY 2011 (October 2010 enrollment count) due to the decreased cohort entry into Kindergarten for children born between July 2005 and June 30, 2006--a 10 month instead of a 12-month period. Savings are approximate</a>	Enactment of this bill may delay partial Kindergarten enrollment costs for one year. (\$11,951,000) for FY 2011. Enactment of this bill likely will result in some delayed costs for districts in their Kindergarten population entering school fall 2010.
<a href="#">H.B. 244</a>		Disruption of School Activities-- Carol Spackman Moss		Yes	Yes	16-Jan-09		Randy	16-Jan-09	<a href="#">It is only incidentally about schools and imposes no requirement of any kind on any aspect of the public education system. But note lines 38-40, the gist of which is: "As a part of the sentence for violation of this section, the court shall order the defendant to reimburse the school for costs incurred by the school in responding to the defendant's presence on the school property."</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 244 S1-- Passed 9 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Disruption of School Activities-- Senator Jon J. Greiner		Yes	Yes	9-Mar-09		Randy	9-Mar-09	<a href="#">Like the original, this substitute is only incidentally about schools and imposes no requirement of any kind on any aspect of the public education system. But note lines 50-52: "As a part of the sentence for violation of this section, the court shall order the defendant to reimburse the school for costs incurred by the school in responding to the defendant's presence on the school property."</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 245-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Utah State 911 Committee Amendments--Curtis Oda		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 246</a>		Property Tax--Residential Exemption--Gage Froerer		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could lead to a shift of tax burden from the residential property eligible under this exemption to other residential and business properties not eligible for the exemption. The estimated shift is approximately \$28,000,000 with \$17,640,000 being shifted to other residential properties and \$10,360,000 shifted to businesses and other properties.
<a href="#">H.B. 246 S1</a>		Property Tax--Residential Exemption--Gage Froerer		Yes	Yes						None Published

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 246 S2</a>		Property Tax--Residential Exemption--Gage Froerer		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could lead to a shift of tax burden from the residential property eligible under this exemption to other residential and business properties not eligible for the exemption.
<a href="#">H.B. 248</a>		Regulating the Use of a Wireless Communication Device While Operating a Motor Vehicle--Carol Spackman Moss		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will require \$10,000 per year from the General Fund appropriated to the Courts beginning in FY 2010. The bill will also generate \$13,800 per year in new General Fund revenue beginning in FY 2010, for a net General Fund increase of \$3,800 per year. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments through Justice Courts adjudications will benefit.
<a href="#">H.B. 248 S1</a>		Regulating the Use of a Wireless Communication Device While Operating a Motor Vehicle--Carol Spackman Moss		Yes	Yes						None published
<a href="#">H.B. 249</a>		Continuing Education for Contractors Amendments--Ron Bigelow		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Individuals may benefit from reduced educational requirements.
<a href="#">H.B. 249 S1</a>		Continuing Education for Contractors Amendments--Ron Bigelow		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Individuals may benefit from reduced educational requirements.
<a href="#">H.B. 257-- Passed 20 Feb. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Political Subdivision Clerk Amendments--Merlynn T. Newbold		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 259</a>		Prohibition of Impact Fees on School Districts and Charter Schools--Steve Sandstrom		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Individuals may benefit from reduced educational requirement
<a href="#">H.B. 259 S1</a>		Change to Impact Fees--Steve Sandstrom		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 259 S2-- Passed 12 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25. March 2009</a>	2	Local Government Amendments--Steve Sandstrom		Yes							None published
<a href="#">H.B. 260</a>		School Employee Termination Amendments--Carl Wimmer		Yes	Yes	22-Jan-09		Emily	26-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Individuals may benefit from reduced educational requirement
<a href="#">H.B. 260 S1</a>		School Employee Termination Amendments--Carl Wimmer		Yes	Yes	13-Feb-09		Emily	17-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 262 S1</a>		Licensing Eligibility--Steven E. Sandstrom		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 263</a>		Insurance Fraud Related Assessments--Douglas C. Aagard		Yes							Enactment of this bill will require an additional appropriation of \$253,900 in FY 2010 and \$207,000 in FY 2011 from Dedicated Credits. The bill will increase Dedicated Credits revenue by \$291,900 per year. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments. Insurance companies will pay higher assessments. This emphasis on fraud may lower insurance costs to businesses and individuals.
<a href="#">H.B. 264</a>		Educator Evaluation Amendments--Ronda Menlove		Yes	Yes	23-Jan-09		Emily	27-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill may result in additional costs for some local districts and charter schools depending on the current evaluation and data systems they have in place.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 264 S1-- Passed 27 Feb 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Educator Evaluation Amendments--Ronda Menlove		Yes	Yes	3-Feb-09		Emily	5-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill may result in additional costs for some local school districts and charter schools depending on the current evaluation and data systems they have in place.
<a href="#">H.B. 274</a>		Local Government Fees and Charges--C. Brent Wallis		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 274 S1-- Passed 11 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Local Government Fees and Charges--C. Brent Wallis		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 282</a>		Task Force for Legislative Reform--Neil Hansel		Yes							Enactment of this bill will require an appropriation of \$26,700 from the General Fund in FY 2009 only. Those funds will be distributed \$8,800 to the Senate and \$17,800 to the House of Representatives. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 283</a>		Illegal Use of Off-highway Vehicles--Michael E. Noel		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 283 S1</a>		Illegal Use of Motor Vehicles-- Michael E. Noel		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 283 S2-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Illegal Use of Motor Vehicles-- Michael E. Noel		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 287-- Passed 5 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Utah Education Network Amendments -- Kory M. Holdaway		Yes	Yes	3-Feb-09		Randy	4-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 293</a>		State School Board Election Amendments -- Ronda Rudd Menlove		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Von	3-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 296</a>		Schools for the Deaf and Blind Amendments--Ken Sumsion		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Von	4-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will require an ongoing appropriation of approximately \$120,000 in salary and benefits for one additional associate superintendent for the Schools for the Deaf and the Blind. It will also require an ongoing appropriation estimated at \$490,000 to fund the Utah State Instructional Materials Access Center. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 296 S1-- Passed 11 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Schools for the Deaf and Blind Amendments--Ken Sumsion		Yes	Yes	13-Feb-09		Von	17-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will require an ongoing appropriation of \$490,000 to fund the Utah State Instructional Materials Access Center. The cost of an additional associate superintendent position, estimated at \$120,000 ongoing, is offset within the bill by the repeal of Utah Code Title 53A-25-108, which includes a treasurer position. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 305</a>		Independent Contractor Database Amendments-- Ron Bigelow	Numbered without any Substance	Yes							None published

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 311</a>		Utah State Railroad Museum Authority--Neil A. Hansen		Yes							Enactment of this bill could reduce the General Fund by \$46,500 annually. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals. Businesses providing services to the newly established authority would receive a potential sales tax benefit. Local governments could see reduction in sales tax revenue of \$15,000 annually.
<a href="#">H.B. 311 S1</a>		Utah State Railroad Museum Authority--Neil A. Hansen		Yes							Enactment of this bill could reduce the General Fund by \$46,500 annually. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals. Businesses providing services to the newly established authority would receive a potential sales tax benefit. Local governments could see reduction in sales tax revenue of \$15,000 annually.
<a href="#">H.B. 315</a>		Local School Governance Amendments--Kenneth Sumsion		Yes	Yes	4-Feb-09		Randy	6-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact; however several legal issues are problematic</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 318</a>		Construction Trades Licensing Amendments--Brad S. King		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 319-- Passed 27 Feb. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20, March 2009</a>	2	Disaster Recovery and Emergency Management Amendments--Curtis Oda		Yes		9-Feb-09		Jenefer	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 323-- Passed 10 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24, March 2009</a>	2	Amendments Regarding Notice on Utah Public Notice Website--Bradley A. Wynn		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 327-- Passed 12 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 23, March 2009</a>	2	Building Inspector Amendments--Douglas C. Aagard		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals and businesses. Local governments may be impacted due to this proposed change in statute.
<a href="#">H.B. 328-- Passed 12 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25, March 2009</a>	2	Teacher Quality Amendments-- Gregory H. Hughes	\$300,000 from H.B. 2 S1 Amended	Yes	Yes	5-Feb-09		Randy	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">\$300,000 USF to the State Board of Education</a>	Enactment of this bill will appropriate \$300,000 ongoing from the Uniform School Fund. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local school districts will receive the grants outlined in the bill.
<a href="#">H.B. 330</a>		Utah School Seismic Hazard Inventory--Larry B. Wiley		Yes	Yes	9-Feb-09		Jenefer	10-Feb-09	<a href="#">Estimated costs for "Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards" averaged in these ranges: Elementary Schools &amp; Miscellaneous Educations Facilities \$1,500 - \$2,000; Junior High Schools &amp; District Administrative Buildings \$2,500-3,000; High Schools \$5,000-6,000. Estimated Total Based on Averages \$1,763,825; only \$500,000 one-time funding is proposed in this bill. Amount appropriated: \$500,000 from the General Fund</a>	Enactment of this bill will appropriate \$500,000 one-time from the General Fund for FY 2010 and includes non-lapsing authority. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 334-- Passed 12 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25, March 2009</a>	2	Writing Assessment and Instruction--Merlynn T. Newbold		Yes	Yes	18-Feb-09		Emily	19-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact. Line 50 repeals a current direct writing assessment for grades 6 and 9 and replaces it with an online writing assessment for grades 5 and 8. It only changes the grades and changes the test from written form to online. One test would just replace the other, therefore, an appropriation is not needed.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill may require some additional costs for school districts and charter schools depending on the online capabilities they have in place.
<a href="#">H.B. 354</a>		Capital Project Amendments-- Stephen D. Clark		Yes							Enactment of this bill will appropriate \$500,000 one-time from the General Fund for FY 2010 and includes non-lapsing authority. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 358</a>		Teacher Certification Amendments--Eric K. Hutchings	Title Without any Substance	Yes	Yes						None published
<a href="#">H.B. 362</a>		Government Records Amendments--Gregory H. Hughes		Yes		26-Feb-09		Randy	27-Feb-09	<a href="#">Assuming that educational agencies are already properly managing their records, implementation of this bill will not require additional expenditures. The provision for charging fees more in line with the actual cost of responding to extensive records requests may even be helpful.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations and may result in a minimal increase in fee revenue to state agencies. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses; though local governments may receive a minimal increase in fee revenue and certain individuals may be charged additional records access fees.
<a href="#">H.B. 363</a>		Property Tax--Determining Value for Tax Assessment-- Neil A. Hansen	Title Without any Substance								None published
<a href="#">H.B. 364-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Border and Nonresident Student Amendments--Don L. Ipson		Yes							Some institutions in the Utah System of Higher Education may see an increase in the number of students enrolled because they may be eligible for the tuition waivers or scholarships. This would result in an increase in revenue collections on those campuses. There could also be an offsetting decrease in revenue collections if students who would have otherwise enrolled and paid nonresident tuition now receive one of these waivers or scholarships. The impact will be determined by how many new students enroll and how many waivers and scholarships are awarded. Students who are eligible for tuition waivers will benefit from not paying nonresident tuition.
<a href="#">H.B. 378</a>		Circuit Breaker Amendments-- Tim Cosgrove		Yes							Enactment of this bill could decrease the General Fund by \$121,300 in FY 2010 and by \$124,900 in FY 2011. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses, or local governments. Some lower income taxpayers could receive a reduction in property taxes owed.
<a href="#">H.B. 378 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Circuit Breaker Amendments-- Tim Cosgrove		Yes							<a href="#">Enactment of this bill could decrease the General Fund by \$62,300 in FY 2010. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses, or local governments. Some lower income taxpayers could receive a reduction in property taxes owed.</a>
<a href="#">H.B. 381</a>		Public Education Law Revisions--Association Leave-- Christopher N. Herrod		Yes	Yes	23-Feb-09		Emily	24-Feb-09	<a href="#">This bill requires that districts be reimbursed when employees are on unpaid association leave. Either the individual district employee, an association, or union can pay for the reimbursement. If a teacher is on unpaid association leave, this could result in reimbursement of approximately \$430 per day</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations Enactment of this bill may result in some cost savings or revenues for school districts and charter schools due to reimbursement provisions as outlined in the bill.
<a href="#">H.B. 391-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Budgetary Procedures Act Revisions--Ron Bigelow		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 394</a>		Uniform Building Code Commission Amendments-- Carl Wimmer		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 409</a>		Education Building Projects Zoning Exemption Amendments--Carl Wimmer		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 410-- Passed 11 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Legislator Salary Amendments-- Ron Bigelow		Yes							Provisions of this bill reduce by 10% salaries paid to legislators for the remaining months of calendar year 2009 and all of calendar year 2010. Fiscal year 2009 General Fund savings to the House of Representatives is (\$2,100) and to the Senate (\$800). FY 2010 General Fund savings to the House of Representatives is (\$62,000) and to the Senate (\$24,000). FY 2011 General Fund savings is (\$12,600) to the House of Representatives and to the Senate (\$4,900). Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green

New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue

Bills Passed by the House and Senate are Highlighted in Pumpkin

Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow

Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red

Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statu	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">H.B. 413 S1-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	County Authority Amendments-- Ronda Rudd Menlove		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 414</a>		Eminent Domain Modifications-- Craig A. Frank		Yes							Provisions of this bill are estimated to increase annual expenditures by the Department of Transportation by an average of \$4,515,000 from the Transportation Fund. Local governments could have increased expenses as they exercise eminent domain proceedings and are subject to provisions of this bill.
<a href="#">H.B. 418</a>		Delinquent Property Tax Amendments--Gage Froerer		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill could increase local revenues by up to \$50,000,000 depending on penalties assessed. There will be a corresponding increase in cost to individuals and businesses that are delinquent in their taxes.
<a href="#">H.B. 425-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Carson Smith Scholarship Program Amendments-- Merlynn T. Newbold		Yes	Yes	17-Feb-09		Cathy	18-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact. This bill is amended to make sure a school district or charter school sends out appropriate notices no later than 30 days after a student qualifies for an IEP. This bill also directs the school districts and charter schools to post an address of the Internet that provides detailed program information and application forms. These requirements can be handled</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.B. 442</a>		2010 Appropriations Act-- David Litvack	Title Without any Substance	Yes	Yes						None published
<a href="#">H.C.R. 2</a>		Healthy Family Partnership Concurrent Resolution--Curtis Oda		Yes	Yes	13-Jan-09		Emily	15-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.J.R. 6</a>		Joint Resolution Revising Property Tax Exemption-- Patrick Painter		Yes							Publication and distribution costs to put this resolution on the ballot will require a one-time FY 2011 appropriation of \$14,700 from the General Fund. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.J.R. 11-- Passed 3 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Joint Resolution Expressing Support for and Recognizing the Value of Locally Elected Officials--Melvin R. Brown		Yes	Yes	3-Feb-09		Randy	5-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.J.R. 13</a>		Joint Resolution--Teacher Performance Pay--Marie H. Poulson		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Emily	4-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.J.R. 21-- Passed 11 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Master Study Resolution-- Kevin S. Garn		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">H.J.R. 29-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Legislative Direction to the Public Employees' Benefit and Insurance Program-- Brad Dee		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

Know people who would like this service? To subscribe or unsubscribe visit:

[https://lists.uen.org/mailman/listinfo/usoe\\_daily\\_fiscal\\_note\\_summary](https://lists.uen.org/mailman/listinfo/usoe_daily_fiscal_note_summary)



## FISCAL NOTE LOG--SENATE BILLS 2009

Thursday, March 26, 2009

[Link to 2009 Legislature General Session Numbered Bills and Resolutions](#)  
[Link to Bills and Bill Requests by Sponsor, Subject or Committee](#)  
[Link to Recorded Senate Floor Debates](#)  
[USOE Fiscal Note Input Web Site](#)

[Link to 2009 Legislation Governor Actions](#)  
[Link to Weekly Schedules of House and Senate](#)  
[Link to Legislative Calendars](#)  
[Senate and House Calendar Displays](#)

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	1 S E N A T E	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 2-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	New Fiscal Year Supplemental Appropriations Act--Lyle W. Hillyard		Yes	Yes						This bill appropriates \$8,513,980,850, including \$2,319,612,950 from general and education funds, for the use and support of state agencies and higher education institutions for Fiscal Year 2010. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 3-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Appropriations Adjustments--Lyle W. Hillyard		Yes	Yes						This bill appropriates \$555,894,100, including (\$35,479,500) from general and education funds, for the use and support of state agencies and higher education institutions for Fiscal Year 2009. This bill appropriates \$2,402,049,600, including 2,226,027,100 from general and education funds, for the use and support of state agencies and higher education institutions for Fiscal Year 2010. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 4--Passed 2 Feb; Enrolled; Signed by Governor 9-Feb- 2009</a>	2	Current Fiscal Year Supplemental Minimum School Program Budget Amendments--Howard Stephenson		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Cathy	2/5/2009	<a href="#">Net reduction to the MSP of \$59,404,100 for FY 2008-09 only</a>	None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 10</a>		Department of Community and Culture--State-Owned Art Inventory--Mark Madsen		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill would require costs in the first year of \$33,000 and annual funding beginning in FY 2010 of \$24,600. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 14-- Passed 11 Mar 2009</a>		Financial Incentives for Motion Picture Productions--Lyle W. Hillyard		Yes							Enactment of this bill could reduce the Education Fund by \$7,793,700 annually. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments. Businesses could receive refundable tax credits equal to 20 percent of the costs of in-state production.
<a href="#">S.B. 14 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 23 March 2009</a>	2	Financial Incentives for Motion Picture Productions--Lyle W. Hillyard		Yes							Enactment of this bill could reduce the Education Fund by \$7,793,700 in FY 2010 and FY 2011. Any future credits are dependent upon Legislative authorization. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments. Businesses could receive refundable tax credits equal to 20 percent of the costs of in-state production.
<a href="#">S.B. 18</a>		Utah Transparency Advisory Board Amendments--Sen Wayne Neiderhauser		Yes	Yes	2-Dec-08		Von	9-Dec-08	<a href="#">\$5.9 million for FY 2009 current year; and \$2.02 million for FY 2010 and FY 2011</a>	Implementation of this bill will require \$70,400 one-time in FY 2011 for a temporary Financial Analyst II Division of Finance. Specific costs will depend on what information cities, towns, counties, school districts, and special service districts currently keep; whether that information is currently available to the public; and what specific financial information the Utah Transparency Advisory Board requires to be posted to the internet. Businesses and individuals may receive certain benefits from the ability to view state and local government financial and contractual data online.
<a href="#">S.B. 18 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Utah Transparency Advisory Board Amendments--Sen Wayne Neiderhauser		Yes	Yes	29-Jan-09		Von	30-Jan-09	<a href="#">The bill has been changed from the original and allows districts to post information to the state operated and supported web site. If a district chooses to host the data and search engines on its own web-site the cost would be borne locally--about \$336.</a>	Implementation of this bill will require \$70,400 one-time in FY 2010 and FY 2011 for a temporary Financial Analyst II in the Division of Finance and may require an additional appropriation of \$24,000 per 100 non-state entities that choose to use the State's transparency website. Specific costs will depend on what information cities, towns, counties, school districts, and special service districts currently keep; whether that information is currently available to the public; and what specific financial information the Utah Transparency Advisory Board requires to be posted to the internet. Businesses and individuals may receive certain benefits from the ability to view state and local government financial and contractual data online.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statutes	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 26--Passed 5 Feb 2009; Enrolled: Gov Signed 23 March 2009</a>	2	Open and Public Meetings Act-- Meeting Record--Peter Knudson		Yes	Yes	16-Jan-09		Von	20-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 43</a>		Insurance Coverage for Autism Spectrum Disorders - Clay's Law -- Howard Stephenson		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will require an additional appropriation of \$1,900,000 from various funds as shown in the table in order to pay for increased coverage of autism spectrum disorders. Local governments may be impacted if they provide health insurances to employees. Businesses that purchase health insurance may see increase in the cost of insurance. Individuals may benefit from the additional coverage that is provided under the proposed change in statute. Uniform School Fund: FY 22009--\$0; FY 2010--\$88,600; FY 2011--\$88,600
<a href="#">S.B. 46</a>		School Property Tax Equalization Amendments-- Karen Morgan		Yes	Yes	23-Jan-09		Cathy	27-Jan-09	<a href="#">Eliminates potential property tax revenue loss to Salt Lake County school districts which do not increase tax rates to make up for revenue sent to another school district.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. By eliminating provisions for a county-wide pool of capital outlay property tax revenues, enactment of this bill may increase or decrease the amount of capital outlay property tax revenues a school district in a county of the first class receives. The total increase or decrease is dependent on a district's allocation of property tax revenues from the county-wide pool in relation to the amount of property tax revenue a school district can generate based on the value of property within its jurisdiction.
<a href="#">S.B. 46 S1</a>		School Property Tax Equalization Amendments-- Karen W. Morgan	Temporary URL	Yes		5-Mar-09		Cathy	6-Mar-09	<a href="#">This first substitute requires that a "receiving" school district deposit capital outlay increment monies in a separate account, which can only be used for new school building construction, and not for renovations or remodeling of existing buildings or facilities. Before the receiving school district expends any of the capital outlay increment monies, the "receiving" school district shall provide notice and conduct a public hearing at which the "receiving" school district adopts a budget for the expenditure of the capital outlay increment monies.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. A school district, in a county of the first class, that meets the criteria established in this bill may incur additional costs associated with the advertising and public hearing requirements outlined in the bill.
<a href="#">S.B. 46 S2</a>		School Property Tax Equalization Amendments-- Karen W. Morgan	Temporary URL	Yes		9-Mar-09		Cathy	10-Mar-09	<a href="#">This second substitute eliminates the requirement for "receiving" school districts to conduct a public hearing at which the "receiving" school district adopts a budget for the expenditure of the capital outlay increment monies. Not only is a school district required to separately budget and account for the capital outlay increment monies, but this second substitute requires those school districts to submit copies of an audit on the use of those capital outlay increment monies and to submit copies of that audit to the county treasurer, the State Office of Education (USOE), and the superintendents of each of the contributing school districts within the "receiving" school district's county. USOE is then required to determine if a "receiving" school district spent the funds appropriately. If so, the "receiving" school district shall return their share of the capital outlay increment monies to the county treasurer and the county treasurer shall distribute those funds.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 47</a>		Grant in Lieu of Property Tax Act--Gene Davis		Yes							Subject to appropriation and a General Fund surplus, enactment of this bill would allow municipalities to apply for a grant from surplus funds. Enactment of this bill will require an appropriation to the State Auditor of \$36,000 for half of an FTE. Enactment of this bill may increase revenue to local governments by as much as \$1,000,000. The transfer to the local governments would only happen if funds are appropriated and there is eligible surplus in the General Fund. Individuals and businesses may experience an increase or decrease in property tax of \$1,000,000 depending upon whether an eligible municipality decreases property tax for the funds received. County assessors will experience an increase in
<a href="#">S.B. 47 S1</a>		County Regional Facilities Property Tax--Gene Davis		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. If only one county has an eligible municipality, then this bill would increase the property tax by \$1,000,000. For each county with an eligible municipality, the property tax increases by \$1,000,000. In a county of the first class, the \$1,000,000 property tax increase would mean a shift of \$979,000 between those taxing entities that pay the property tax and those taxing entities that receive the tax. There may be increased costs to the county assessor.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statutes	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B.48</a>		Teacher Competency Amendments--D. Chris Buttars		Yes	Yes	28-Jan-09		Emily	29-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact on USF</a>	Enactment of this bill may increase costs at the Utah State Office of Education associated with verifying applicants seeking a competency-based teaching license as outlined in the bill. Increased workload is estimated to require an additional 0.5 FTE at a cost of \$25,500; however the costs are funded by educator licensing fees rather than USF funds. Bill provisions require a fee be paid by the applicant to cover the costs associated with granting the license. Individuals seeking a competency-based teaching license may be required to pay a fee to cover the costs associated with issuing the license.
<a href="#">S.B. 55</a>		Governor's Interagency Coordinating Council on Health Disparities and Economic Self- sufficiency--Luz Robles		Yes		30-Jan-09		Von	3-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will require the Department of Workforce Services to spend \$2,300 per year in General Funds beginning FY 2010. The Department believes it can handle this expenditure within existing resources. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 56</a>		Military Installation Development Authority Amendments--Sheldon L. Killpack		Yes							Enactment of this bill would have no impact of current revenue. However, the bill authorizes a diversion of 50 percent of the sales tax revenue collected in the designated Military Authority zone. This could have an impact on future General Fund revenues once the zone is established. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments could see a shift in property tax revenue between tax types as a result of the property tax increments established in the bill.
<a href="#">S.B. 56 S1</a>		Military Installation Development Authority Amendments--Sheldon L. Killpack		Yes							Enactment of this bill would have no impact of current revenue. However, the bill authorizes a diversion of 50 percent of the sales tax revenue collected in the designated Military Authority zone. This could have an impact on future General Fund revenues once the zone is established. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments could see a shift in property tax revenue between tax types as a result of the property tax increments established in the bill.
<a href="#">S.B. 56 S2-- Passed 6 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Military Installation Development Authority Amendments--Sheldon L. Killpack		Yes							Enactment of this bill would have no impact of current revenue. However, the bill authorizes a diversion of 50 percent of the sales tax revenue collected in the designated Military Authority zone. This could have an impact on future General Fund revenues once the zone is established. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments could see a shift in property tax revenue between tax types as a result of the property tax increments established in the bill.
<a href="#">S.B. 61</a>		Home School and Private School Students' Participation in Extracurricular Activities-- Senator Mark B. Madsen		Yes	Yes	5-Feb-09		Von	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 64</a>		Administrative Rules Review Committee--Howard A. Stephenson		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 65</a>		Amendments to Property Tax Notice, Public Hearing, and Resolution Provisions--Senator Dennis E. Stowell		Yes	Yes	19-Feb-09		Cathy	23-Feb-09	<a href="#">There is no fiscal impact to school districts. This bill would impact calendar entities (NOT school districts) by giving them options if they desire to levy a tax rate that exceeds the calendar year taxing entity's certified tax rate.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Local taxing entities may experience decreased or increased costs to increase property taxes. Individuals and businesses are unaffected.
<a href="#">S.B. 65 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Gov. Signed 124 March 2009</a>	2	Amendments to Property Tax Notice, Public Hearing, and Resolution Provisions--Senator Dennis E. Stowell		Yes	Yes	9-Mar-09		Cathy	10-Mar-09	<a href="#">The main change in this first substitute is including coordinating clauses for HB23, Certified Tax Rate Amendments, HB 67, Public Hearings on Property Tax Increases, and SB 208, Utah Public Notice Website. SB 208 would require entities to publish the hearing notice on a website, which may require a cost to maintain the web site.</a>	<a href="#">Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Local taxing entities may experience decreased or increased costs to increase property taxes. Individuals and businesses are unaffected.</a>
<a href="#">S.B. 68</a>		Mining Protection Amendments--Sheldon Killpack		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statutes	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 68 S1-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Mining Protection Amendments--Sheldon Killpack		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 74</a>		At-Risk Student Provisions-- Luz Robles		Yes	Yes	18-Feb-09		Randy	20-Feb-09	<a href="#">Assuming that LEAs must pay for the mandated training and that the training is one-time, and knowing that only 9 districts and 1 charter school currently receive "At Risk - Gang Prevention" funding (because it is, by statute, which this bill does not change, competitively awarded and requires matching funds), an estimated \$2.5 million beyond the current (FY 2009) level of gang prevention funding would be required for FY 2010 (allowing it to be carried forward) to provide the training.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill may result in additional costs to school districts and charter schools associated with the faculty and staff training provisions outlined in the bill.
<a href="#">S.B. 77</a>		Grants for Licensed Teachers Amendments--Senator Mark B. Madsen		Yes	Yes	5-Feb-09		Emily	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">Grants for American Board Distinguished Teacher certification is included in this current bill, but was not included in years past. The balance remaining from the previous grant program was eliminated during the special session of the Legislature in September.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 79</a>		Health Reform - Medical Malpractice Amendments-- Peter C. Knudson		Yes		5-Feb-09		Randy	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals and local governments. Businesses may be impacted due to changes in the proposed statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 79 S1</a>		Health Reform - Medical Malpractice Amendments-- John L. Valentine	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals and local governments. Businesses may be impacted due to changes in the proposed statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 79 S2</a>		Health Reform - Medical Malpractice Amendments-- Peter C. Knudson		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals and local governments. Businesses may be impacted due to changes in the proposed statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 79 S3-- Passed 10 Mar; Enrolled; Signed by Gov 11 Mar 2009</a>	2	Health Reform - Medical Malpractice Amendments-- Peter C. Knudson		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals and local governments. Businesses may be impacted due to changes in the proposed statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 81</a>		Enrollment Program Amendments---Margaret Dayton		Yes	Yes	4-Feb-09		Randy	6-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 81 S1-- Passed 6 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Concurrent Enrollment Program Amendments-- Margaret Dayton		Yes	Yes	20-Feb-09		Randy	24-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 83</a>		Condemnation Amendments-- Dennis E. Stowell		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. However, in some cases where delays occur in construction projects, this bill could increase the state's property acquisition costs and legal fees. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Land owners may benefit by being able to file an action to set aside the condemnation acquisition if the state does meet conditions in the bill.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Session	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 83 S4--</a> <a href="#">Passed 10 Mar</a> <a href="#">2009; Enrolled;</a> <a href="#">Gov Signed 25</a> <a href="#">March 2009</a>	2	Condemnation Amendments-- Dennis E. Stowell		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 88--Passed</a> <a href="#">11 Feb 2009;</a> <a href="#">Enrolled; Gov</a> <a href="#">Signed 20 March</a> <a href="#">2009</a>	2	Administrative Rulemaking Act Amendments--Howard A. Stephenson		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 92--Passed</a> <a href="#">13 Feb 2009;</a> <a href="#">Enrolled; Gov</a> <a href="#">Signed 24 March</a> <a href="#">2009</a>	2	Local Governmental Cooperation in Education Matters--Pat Jones		Yes	Yes	13-Jan-09		Von	15-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 94--Passed</a> <a href="#">23 Feb 2009;</a> <a href="#">Enrolled; Gov</a> <a href="#">Signed 24 March</a> <a href="#">2009</a>	2	Underground Sewer Utilities Facilities Amendments--Jon J. Greiner		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments. Enactment of this bill may affect individuals and businesses that may be required to create and maintain records of sewer utility facilities.
<a href="#">S.B. 100--</a> <a href="#">Passed 19 Feb</a> <a href="#">2009; Enrolled;</a> <a href="#">Gov Signed 20</a> <a href="#">March 2009</a>	2	Financial and Economic Literacy Education Amendments--Pat Jones		Yes	Yes	14-Jan-09		Randy	15-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 104--</a> <a href="#">Passed 13 Feb</a> <a href="#">2009; Enrolled;</a> <a href="#">Gov Signed 25</a> <a href="#">March 2009</a>	2	Higher Education Scholarship Program Amendments--Lyle Hillyard		Yes	Yes						Implementation of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Some students may be impacted by the modified requirements to receive a scholarship.
<a href="#">S.B. 105--</a> <a href="#">Passed 11 Feb</a> <a href="#">2009; Enrolled;</a> <a href="#">Gov Signed 24</a> <a href="#">March 2009</a>	2	Engineering and Computer Science Initiative Amendments-- Lyle Hillyard		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 109</a>		Local School Funding Legislative Task Force--Chris Buttars		Yes							None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 113</a>		Delayed Effective Date of Illegal Immigration Legislation-- Pat Jones		Yes							Delaying the implementation date of Senate Bill 81, 2008 General Session, will delay the fiscal impact that is currently in the FY 2010 base until FY 2011. Individuals who are not in this country legally and currently receiving state services would not lose those services until FY 2011. Local Health Departments could delay expanding their workforces to determine citizenship and eligibility until FY 2011. Business that may incur additional costs associated with administering payroll and withholding taxes would not realize those costs until FY 2011.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoes by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statutes	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 114</a>		Tobacco Tax Amendments--Allen M. Christensen		Yes							Enacting this bill will increase taxes on cigarettes, moist snuff, and other tobacco related products by \$53,900,000 in FY 2010. In FY 2011, \$54,440,000 will be raised by the tax increase, \$53,900,000 of which is deposited into the Cigarette and Tobacco Products Restricted Account. The balance accrues to the General Fund. The bill also changes current earmarks; there is an increase to Huntsman Cancer Institute by \$2,300,000; an increase to the University of Utah School of Medicine by \$600,000; and a decrease to the Department of Health by \$600,000. The remaining \$51,600,000 must be spent on health-related programs. Local government sales tax revenue will increase by a total of \$60,000. Revenues to businesses dealing in tobacco products will decrease by \$45,000,000. Individuals will see the price per pack of cigarette increase by \$1.30; the price per ounce of moist snuff increase by \$1.40; and the tax rate for other tobacco products increase from 35% to 88%.
<a href="#">S.B. 114 S1</a>		Tobacco Tax Amendments--Allen M. Christensen		Yes							None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 114 S2</a>		Tobacco Tax Amendments--Allen M. Christensen		Yes							None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 123</a>		School District Division Process--Lyle Hillyard		Yes	Yes	27-Jan-09		Cathy	28-Jan-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 124</a>		Indemnification for Design Professional Services--Gregory S. Bell		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations; Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 124 S1</a>		Indemnification for Design Professional Services--Gregory S. Bell	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 124 S2-- Passed 4 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov. Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Indemnification for Design Professional Services--Gregory S. Bell		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 126</a>		State Personnel Management Act Amendments--Daniel R. Liljenquist		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 126 S1-- Passed 18 Feb. 2009; Enrolled; Signed by Governor 2 Mar. 2009</a>	2	State Personnel Management Act Amendments--Daniel R. Liljenquist		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 135</a>		Local District Taxing Authority--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Local taxing entities that may be affected include cemetery maintenance districts, drainage districts, fire protection districts, improvement districts, metropolitan water districts, mosquito abatement districts, public transit districts, service areas, and water conservancy districts. If these local taxing entities change their board structure to be an elected board, then individuals, businesses, and local taxing entities are unaffected. If certain local taxing entities do not change their board structure to be an elected board, then some individuals and businesses will likely experience a tax decrease and/or will not experience tax increases. In this case, certain local taxing entities would be unable to raise property tax revenue. Enactment of this legislation may increase borrowing costs for local taxing entities.
<a href="#">S.B. 135 S1-- Passed 26 Feb. 2009; Enrolled; Gov. Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Local District Taxing Authority--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Local taxing entities that may be affected include cemetery service areas, water service areas, road service areas, and other service areas. If these local taxing entities change their board structure to be an elected board, then individuals, businesses, and local taxing entities are unaffected. If certain local taxing entities do not change their board structure to be an elected board, then some individuals and businesses will likely experience a tax decrease and/or will not experience tax increases. In this case, certain local taxing entities would be unable to raise property tax revenue.



New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	S t a t u s	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 139-- Passed 27 Feb. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Employer Election Retirement Amendments--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 146-- Passed 10 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Home Schooling Amendments-- Mark B. Madsen		Yes	Yes	2-Feb-09		Randy	2-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 149</a>		Prohibition of Text Messaging or Electronic Mail Communication While Driving-- Lyle W. Hillyard		Yes							Corrections and the Board of Pardons will require General Funds of \$29,700 in FY 2009 and \$59,400 in FY 2010. Costs to Corrections and the Board will increase by \$29,700 annually in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and will stabilize at \$133,800 in FY 2014 and each fiscal year thereafter. The Courts will require \$7,000 ongoing General Funds beginning in FY 2010. The Department of Public Safety will spend \$3,000 one-time Restricted Funds for programming which can be handled within the Department's existing budget. The bill will generate \$7,200 per year in new General Fund revenue beginning FY 2010. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments will be impacted by additional adjudications and incarcerations.
<a href="#">S.B. 149 S1</a>		Prohibition of Text Messaging or Electronic Mail Communication While Driving-- Lyle W. Hillyard		Yes							Corrections and the Board of Pardons will require General Funds of \$29,700 in FY 2009 and \$59,400 in FY 2010. Costs to Corrections and the Board will increase by \$29,700 annually in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and will stabilize at \$133,800 in FY 2014 and each fiscal year thereafter. The Courts will require \$7,000 ongoing General Funds beginning in FY 2010. The Department of Public Safety will spend \$3,000 one-time Restricted Funds for programming which can be handled within the Department's existing budget. The bill will generate \$7,200 per year in new General Fund revenue beginning FY 2010. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments will be impacted by additional adjudications and incarcerations.
<a href="#">S.B. 153-- Passed 5 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	County and Municipal Land Use Amendments--Mark B. Madsen		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 159</a>		Math Education Initiative-- Howard Stephenson		Yes	Yes	3-Feb-09		Cathy	6-Feb-09	<a href="#">Of the total appropriation of \$1,750,000, \$1,000,000 is to be used to provide award grants to school districts and charter schools to adopt Singapore math and contract with an independent, qualified evaluator to evaluate the effect of instruction in Singapore math on student achievement; and \$750,000 of the total appropriation to award grants for math teacher training programs.</a>	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$1,750,000 in ongoing Uniform School Funds to the State Board of Education to implement the Math Education Initiative outlined in the bill. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 159 S1</a>		Math Education Initiative-- Howard Stephenson		Yes	Yes			Cathy	12-Feb-09	<a href="#">Of the total appropriation of \$500,000, the State Board of Education is to award grants of up to \$150 per student for the portion of a school district's or charter school's kindergarten through grade eight enrollment that participates in the Math Education Initiative for the first time.</a>	Enactment of this bill appropriates \$500,000 in ongoing Uniform School Funds to the State Board of Education to implement the Math Education Initiative outlined in the bill. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 163-- Passed 12 Mar. 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Construction Trade Exemption-- Steven H. Urquhart		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments. Individuals and business may be impacted by this change in statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 175</a>		Signage Requirements Relating to Children in Parked Cars--Karen Mayne		Yes							None Published

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetted by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statutes	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 175 S1</a>		Signage Requirements Relating to Children in Parked Cars--Karen Mayne	Temporary URL	Yes		9-Feb-09		Von	10-Feb-09	<a href="#">Expected costs to schools: \$498,000; State Buildings: \$186,200; Child Care Centers: \$67,250--for one-time purchase of signs and installation.</a>	None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 175 S2</a>		Signage Requirements Relating to Children in Parked Cars--Karen Mayne	Temporary URL	Yes							None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 177</a>		New School District Amendments--D. Chris Buttars		Yes	Yes	6-Feb-09		Von	9-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact to the State</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 185-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Federal Education Agreement Requirements Amendments-- Margaret Dayton		Yes	Yes	18-Feb-09		Von	19-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact. The restrictions are already in code. This bill raises limits from \$100,000 to \$500,000, from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 and from \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000 for reviews. The responsibility for approval is also moved from the Legislative Management Committee to the Executive Appropriations Committee. It also more clearly defines what "cost" is intended to include.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 186--Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Amendments to State Tax Commission Penalty Provisions -- Stephenson, H.		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill could decrease revenue to the Education Fund by \$1,525,000 in FY 2009, \$2,970,000 in FY 2010, and \$3,146,000 in FY 2011. Individuals and businesses that do not file returns on time could experience a decrease in penalties paid by \$1,525,000 in FY 2009, \$2,970,000 in FY 2010, and \$3,146,000 in FY 2011. Local entities are likely unaffected.
<a href="#">S.B. 195</a>		Public Employee Defined Contribution Amendments-- Daniel R. Liljenquist		Yes	Yes	26-Feb-09		Von	27-Feb-09	<a href="#">This bill would have no fiscal impact but could save the state some money if there were a tie between amounts paid by school districts and funding. Since the SS &amp; Retirement line items are not tied to actual expense it may not impact any savings.</a>	Enactment of this bill would yield a one-time savings of approximately \$32,230,000 from various funds as shown in the LFA Fiscal Note table. Of that savings, \$28,644,700 is in the General and Education funds. The Education Fund one time impact is (\$25,938,800). Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Some individuals may be impacted due to this change the proposed statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 195 Amended</a>		Public Employee Defined Contribution Amendments-- Daniel R. Liljenquist	<a href="#">Standing Committee Amendment</a>	Yes	Yes	3-Mar-09		Von	3-Mar-09	<a href="#">This bill would have no fiscal impact but could save the state some money if there were a tie between amounts paid by school districts and funding. Since the SS &amp; Retirement line items are not tied to actual expense it may not impact any savings.</a>	Enactment of this bill would yield a one-time savings of approximately \$12,925,500 from various funds as shown in the table. Of that savings, \$11,506,000 is in the General and Education funds. The Education Fund one time impact is (\$12,969,400). Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for businesses or local governments. Some individuals may be impacted due to this change the proposed statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 199</a>		Equal Recognition of School Parent Groups--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes	Yes	10-Feb-09		Von	11-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 199 S1</a>		Equal Recognition of School Parent Groups--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes	Yes	25-Feb-09		Von	25-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 199 S3</a>		Equal Recognition of School Parent Groups-- Representative Rebecca D. Lockhart		Yes		12-Mar-09		Von	12-Mar-09	<a href="#">The bill requires local boards of education to develop a policy that preferential treatment will not be given to one parent group over another.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 205-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Redevelopment Agency Amendments--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill extends for five years the tax increment amount of industrial sites and inactive airports that can be diverted from local taxing entities. Certain individuals could be impacted in that this bill could reduce the number of low income housing units created annually; the housing provision could also shorten the time period of projects. On the provision related to telecommunication facilities, this may decrease the bonding ability of the agencies referred to in the bill; this provision may also provide more price competition between service providers.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoes by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Statutes	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 206</a>		Assignment of Officials for High School Athletic Activities--Mark B. Madsen	Introduced by Short Title	Yes	Yes						None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 208</a>		Public Notice Website Amendments--Stephen H. Urquhart		Yes	Yes	20-Feb-09		Von	23-Feb-09	<a href="#">While this bill has no fiscal impact on government entities, it does make a fundamental change on information and its availability. Some groups such as those with either low computer skills or poorer internet access may become more disenfranchised from government.</a>	Enactment of this bill would require an appropriation from Dedicated Credits of \$257,000 annually to the Division of State Archives to develop and maintain the program and website expansion required in the bill. The Division of State Archives will charge fees to cover their costs. There are potential costs savings of approximately \$100,000 to state agencies which will no longer have to pay costs for certain newspaper advertisements. Local governments could see advertising costs savings of up to \$500,000. Newspapers could see a decrease in advertising revenue as a result of this legislation. No impact is anticipated on individuals.
<a href="#">S.B. 208 S1</a>		Public Notice Website Amendments--Stephen H. Urquhart		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill would require an appropriation from Dedicated Credits of \$257,000 annually to the Division of State Archives to develop and maintain the program and website expansion required in the bill. The Division of State Archives will charge fees to cover their costs. There are potential costs savings of approximately \$100,000 to state agencies which will no longer have to pay costs for certain newspaper advertisements. Local governments could see advertising costs savings of up to \$500,000. Newspapers could see a decrease in advertising revenue as a result of this legislation. No impact is anticipated on individuals.
<a href="#">S.B. 208 S2</a>		Public Notice Website Amendments--Stephen H. Urquhart		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill would require an appropriation from Dedicated Credits of \$188,900 in FY 2011 and \$377,700 annually thereafter to the Division of State Archives to develop and maintain the program and website expansion required in the bill. The Division of State Archives will charge fees to cover their costs. There are potential costs savings beginning in FY 2012 of approximately \$100,000 to state agencies which will no longer have to pay costs for certain newspaper advertisements. Local governments could see advertising costs savings of up to \$500,000 beginning in FY 2012. Newspapers could see a decrease in advertising revenue as a result of this legislation. No impact is anticipated on individuals.
<a href="#">S.B. 208 S3-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Public Notice Website Amendments--Representative John Dougall		Yes	Yes						There are potential costs savings beginning in FY 2011 to state agencies which will pay reduced costs for certain newspaper advertisements. Local governments could see advertising costs savings for advertising beginning in FY 2011. Newspapers could see a decrease in advertising revenue as a result of this legislation. No impact is anticipated on individuals.
<a href="#">S.B. 209-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Land Use, Development and Management Act Amendments--Gregory S. Bell		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 210-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Amendments to Property Tax--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Businesses operating aircraft will likely experience a decrease in property tax liability of \$3,600,000. Individuals and businesses not operating aircraft will likely experience an increase in property tax of \$3,600,000. On a \$250,000 home, the expected tax increase would be \$0.01. On a \$1,000,000 business, the tax increase would be \$0.70. Local taxing entities are unaffected.
<a href="#">S.B. 211-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Building Code Amendments--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.B. 230</a>		Construction Payment Amendments--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will require a one-time appropriation from the Commerce Service Fund of \$50,000 in FY 2010. This will reduce the transfer to the General Fund by \$50,000. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals. Local governments and businesses may be impacted due to the proposed changes in the statute.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Status	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note
<a href="#">S.B. 230 S2-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 24 March 2009</a>	2	Construction Payment Amendments--Curtis S. Bramble		Yes							Enactment of this bill will require a one-time appropriation from the Commerce Service Fund of \$50,000 in FY 2010. This will reduce the transfer to the General Fund by \$50,000. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals. Local governments and businesses may be impacted due to the proposed changes in the statute.
<a href="#">S.B. 234</a>		Motor Vehicle Registration Fees--Ralph Okerlund		Yes							Enactment of this bill increases the registration fees on vehicles by \$1 until the end of FY 2012. These fees increase revenue as a nonlapsing dedicated credit to the Utah State Tax Commission by \$2,620,000 in FY 2010 and \$2,676,000 in FY 2011. Registration fees charged individuals and businesses will increase by \$1. Local taxing entities are unaffected.
<a href="#">S.B. 241</a>		Instructional Expenses Requirements--Mark B. Madsen	<a href="#">Note Input Spreadsheet</a>	Yes	Yes	23-Feb-09		Von	24-Feb-09	<a href="#">Assuming that all districts spent 65% of their general fund expenditures in the instruction function, in FY 2008 \$6.2 million of the amounts were spent in other areas by eight school districts who do not already meet the 65% requirement of this bill, charter schools are not required to meet this standard.</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. School districts that do not meet the minimum instructional expenditure threshold outlined in the bill will need to adjust their general fund expenditures to meet this target, or apply for a waiver from the State Board of Education. In FY 2008, approximately 8 school districts did not meet this minimum instructional expenditure threshold.
<a href="#">S.B. 246</a>		School Amendments--D. Chris Buttars	Introduced by Short Title	Yes	Yes						None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 270</a>		Gift Card Amendments-- Wayne Niederhauser		Yes	Yes						None Published
<a href="#">S.B. 270 S1</a>		Gift Card Amendments-- Wayne L. Niederhauser		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will reduce state revenues by \$100,000 in FY 2010 and by \$110,000 in FY 2011. This ten percent per annum increase will likely continue in FY 2012 and for the foreseeable future. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or local governments. Businesses providing gift cards as stipulated in the bill will benefit from the value of unredeemed cards.
<a href="#">S.B. 270 S2</a>		Gift Card Amendments-- Wayne L. Niederhauser	Temporary URL	Yes							Enactment of this bill will reduce state revenues by \$120,000 in FY 2012 and increase by 10 percent annually each fiscal year for the foreseeable future. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or local governments. Businesses providing gift cards as stipulated in the bill will benefit from the value of unredeemed cards.
<a href="#">S.B. 270 S3-- Passed 12 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 25 March 2009</a>	2	Gift Card Amendments-- Representative Rebecca D. Lockhart		Yes							Enactment of this bill will reduce state revenues by \$120,000 in FY 2012 and increase by 10 percent annually each fiscal year for the foreseeable future. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or local governments. Businesses providing gift cards as stipulated in the bill will benefit from the value of unredeemed cards.
<a href="#">SCR 3 S1-- Passed 10 Mar 2009; Enrolled; Gov Signed 20 March 2009</a>	2	Concurrent Resolution Expressing Support for the Work of the Utah Council on Financial and Economic Education--Pat Jones		Yes	Yes						Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.J.R. 10-- Passed 5 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	1	Alternative Training Center Joint Resolution--Dennis E. Stowell		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
<a href="#">S.J.R. 18</a>		Joint Resolution on Fiscal Note Process--Wayne L. Niederhauser		Yes							Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

New Bills Added since this Document was last Published are Highlighted in Green											
New Fiscal Note Input from USOE to LFA Added since this Document was last Published is Highlighted in Blue											
Bills Passed by the Senate and House are Highlighted in Pumpkin											
Bills Signed by Governor or Allowed to Become Law without Signature are Highlighted in Yellow											
Bills Vetoed by Governor are Highlighted in Red											
Bill Number (Appropriations in RED)	Bill Title	Other Notes and Links	Link to Bill Status	USOE Tracking in Place	Date Received	Approval Required/ Completed	Assigned to	Date Sent to LFA	USOE Fiscal Note	LFA Fiscal Note	
<a href="#">S.R. 6-- Passed 6 Mar 2009; Enrolled</a>	Senate Resolution Recognizing the Work Done by the League of United Latin American Citizens" by Luz Robles		Yes		17-Feb-09		Randy	17-Feb-09	<a href="#">No fiscal impact</a>	Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations. Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.	

Know people who would like this service? To subscribe or unsubscribe visit:  
[https://lists.uen.org/mailman/listinfo/usoe\\_daily\\_fiscal\\_note\\_summary](https://lists.uen.org/mailman/listinfo/usoe_daily_fiscal_note_summary)